k University Service, New

34

773

THIS MATERIAL MAY BE PROTECTED BY COPYRIGHT LAW (TITLE 17 U.S.

CODE

S RWW NG

VOLUME 34, NUMBER 3

SEPTEMBER 1986

Constant-pressure equations of motion

William G. Hoover

Department of Applied Science, University of California at Davis, Livermore, California 94550 and Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, University of California, P.O. Box 808, Livermore, California 94550 (Received 10 March 1986)

Some of the differences among several alternative formulations of constant-pressure molecular dynamics are described. The formulations all agree in the large-system limit, but differ for small systems.

Several times during the past year I have been asked to explain the difference between the Nosé-Andersen¹⁻⁴ "isobaric-isothermal" equations of motion (in which pressure and kinetic energy fluctuate) and the closely related equations I described in my later work on the Nosé oscillator.⁵ This Brief Report answers that question.

In both cases the motivating idea was the same—to use equations of motion which can generate the phase-space density typical of the Gibbsian isobaric-isothermal partition function. Such a goal is different from that pursued, at about the same time, by Evans and Morriss⁶ and Abraham,⁷ who independently developed a third set of equations which instead make the instantaneous pressure P a constant of the motion:

$$\dot{P}=0, PV\equiv \left(\sum (p^2/m) + \sum \sum (r \cdot F)\right) / D$$
 (1)

In Eq. (1) the pressure P is held constant by calculating an instantaneous infinite-frequency bulk modulus and adjusting the volume V to satisfy the condition $\dot{P}=0$. In Eq. (1) the N-particle volume, usually periodic, is D dimensional. The first sum runs over all N particles, each of mass m. The double sum includes each *pair* of particles once. The nearest-image convention is used in calculating the scalar dot product of the pair's separation \mathbf{r} and the force \mathbf{F} .

In Gibbsian statistical mechanics the usual "isobaric" ensembles allow the instantaneous pressure P defined by (1) to fluctuate around a specified mean value P_0 . The probability density in the isobaric-isothermal $N - P_0 - T$ ensemble is proportional to the exponential of a reduced instantaneous enthalpy, $(E + P_0 V)/k_B T$, where k_B is Boltzmann's constant and T is a specified temperature, around which the instantaneous temperature $\sum (p^2/mNDk_B)$ fluctuates. The volume V and the kinetic energy K both vary with time, as do also the coordinates q and momenta p appearing in the internal energy E(q,p).

In carrying out any isobaric-ensemble simulation it is convenient to use *D*-dimensional vector coordinates x, the components of which range from 0 to 1 in each of the *D* space directions. These coordinates are independent of the volume *V*, which changes as a function of time. In Refs. 1-5 volume is used as an independent variable; strain, proportional to the logarithm of the volume, could equally well have been used. Likewise, to describe the change of the volume with time, either \dot{V} or the strain rate $\dot{\epsilon} = \dot{V}/V$ can be used. Andersen and Nosé chose \dot{V} . I chose $\dot{\epsilon}$. Any of the combinations $V - \dot{V}$, $V - \dot{\epsilon}$, $\dot{\epsilon} - \dot{\epsilon}$, and $\dot{\epsilon} - \dot{V}$ is satisfactory but each of the four sets of variables leads naturally to a unique set of motion equations. Of course it is true that any of the four resulting sets of motion equations could be expressed in terms of any of the four sets of variables. Thus the chosen independent variables influence, but do not determine uniquely, the equations of motion.

One satisfactory complete set of variables for isobaricisothermal simulations is $x-p-\zeta-V-\epsilon$. Nosé discovered that by using a new friction coefficient variable ζ the isobaric-isothermal ensemble could be generated with molecular dynamics. In Nosé's formulation the momenta change not only through their adiabatic coupling to the strain rate but also through their coupling to a heat bath described by ζ and a parameter Q with units of action times time. I showed⁵ that a corresponding isobaricisothermal phase-space density $f(x,p,\zeta,V,\epsilon)$ is proportional to

$$V^{N-1} \exp\{\left[-\Phi - K - P_0 V - (Q\xi^2/2)\right]/k_B T - D(\dot{\epsilon}\tau)^2/2\}.$$

I pointed out in Ref. 5 that the equations of motion

$$\dot{x} = p/mV^{1/D},$$

$$\dot{p} = F - (\zeta + \dot{\epsilon})p,$$

$$\dot{\zeta} = 2(K - K_0)/Q,$$

$$\dot{V} = DV\dot{\epsilon},$$
(2)

when supplemented by an evolution equation for the strain rate $\dot{\epsilon}$

$$\ddot{\epsilon} = (P - P_0) V / k T \tau^2 , \qquad (3)$$

satisfy Liouville's probability-conservation equation:

$$0 = \partial f / \partial t$$

$$+ f (\partial \dot{x} / \partial x + \partial \dot{p} / \partial p + \partial \dot{\xi} / \partial \zeta + \partial \dot{V} / \partial V + \partial \ddot{\epsilon} / \partial \dot{\epsilon})$$

$$+ \dot{x} \partial f / \partial x + \dot{p} \partial f / \partial p + \dot{\zeta} \partial f / \partial \zeta + \dot{V} \partial f / \partial V + \ddot{\epsilon} \partial f / \partial \dot{\epsilon} .$$
(4)

On the other hand, the original Andersen-Nosé equations of motion use \dot{V} rather than $\dot{\epsilon}$ as an independent variable. The equation of motion for \dot{V} is

34

2499

 $\ddot{V} = (P - P_0)/M$

In Eq. (5) M is a parameter with units mass \times (length)^{2-2D}. This equation also leads to a probability ensity satisfying an analog of the Liouville equation (4). at the extended phase-space density is slightly different.

For the Andersen-Nosé equations the equilibrium phasespace density $f(x,p,\zeta,V,V)$ turns out to be proportional to

 $V^{N} \exp\left[\left(-\Phi - K - P_{0}V\right)/k_{B}T\right]$

 $\times \exp[-(Q\zeta^2 + MD\dot{V}^2)/2k_BT]$.

It is clear that after integrating over the strain rate $\dot{\epsilon}$ the two probability densities differ by one power of the volume V, but otherwise give exactly the same phase space density in the variables, $x - p - \zeta - V$. Both Eqs. (3) and (5), as well as the alternatives using the pairs $\epsilon - V$

and $\epsilon - \dot{\epsilon}$, are equally valid representations of the isobaric-isothermal ensemble. It is possible that one of these five formulations might be "best" for systems with only a few degrees of freedom, but the differences between the formulations are relatively small, of order (1/N). If. as is usual in molecular dynamics simulations, the center of mass is kept fixed, then the number of independent x_{-p} pairs is reduced by 1 and the N in both probability densities is reduced to N-1.

It is a pleasure to thank Carlo Massobrio (Saclay), Randall LaViolette (Bell Laboratories), Farid Abraham (IBM San José), Giulia DeLorenzi and Tony Ladd (Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory), Brad Holian (Los Alamos National Laboratory), and Hans Andersen (Stanford) for the discussions which led to this work. This work was performed under the auspices of the U.S. Department of Energy under Contract No. W-7405-Eng-48.

¹H. C. Andersen, J. Chem. Phys. 72, 2384 (1980).

²W. G. Hoover, D. J. Evans, R. B. Hickman, A. J. C. Ladd, W. T. Ashurst, and B. Moran, Phys. Rev. A 22, 1690 (1980). ³S. Nosé, Mol. Phys. 52, 255 (1984).

⁴S. Nosé, J. Chem. Phys. 81, 511 (1984).

⁵W. G. Hoover, Phys. Rev. A 31, 1695 (1985). ⁶D. J. Evans and G. P. Morriss, Chem. Phys. 77, 63 (1983). ⁷F. F. Abraham, J. Vac. Sci. Technol. B 2, 534 (1984).

The Cente Departme

The Center Departmer

Renormaliza ployed recenti Sierpiński gask Guyer¹ has poin experimentally . length-scale an O'Shaughnessy ty of a scaled d obtaining these simple. It is si binatorial algeb fusion on geom ularly when thi nature, combin analyzing such thought of as s cept of array Schellkunhoff.4 the use of com diffusive motion which the Sierr derived by thi physical interpl

The Pascal-S on a symmetri $n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots,$ $m_n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$ al coefficient [map of the Pascal's triang cients are mulmade to vanisl sites form a PS L, $L \ge 1$. The has been shown

PHYSICAL R 34

BRIEF REPORTS

(5)